

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/996,430

Applicant(s)

MURAR ET AL.

Examiner

John T. Haran

Art Unit

1733

**All Participants:**(1) John T. Haran.(2) Thomas Saur.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 15 June 2005**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to inform Applicant that claims 5-7, 9-11, and 14-15 were in condition for allowance, and that as explained in the advisory action that claims 12 and 16 are directed to a non-elected invention by original presentation. Examiner indicated that claims 12 and 16 could be cancelled by examiner's amendment in order to issue a notice of allowance. Applicant declined Examiner's suggestion and asked for an action to be mailed..